

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO.

09/029,042

05/15/98

KIM

003364.P001

HM12/0601

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

ANDRES, J

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1646

DATE MAILED:

06/01/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/029,042	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Janet L Andres	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
n d for Ponly		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36 (a). In no event, however, may be within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) I	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
2a) Inis action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
△) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claims <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved	b)⊡ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
n in the under 25 H S C δ 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
Continue copies of the priority documents have been received.		
The decision of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have	been received in this National Stage 2(a)).
* Cas the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certifica pobli	50 1101 7 0 0 0 0
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 3	3 U.S.U. & 119(5).
Attachment(s)	□	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94- 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Sequence

Applicant's amendment with sequence listing filed 19 March 2001 is acknowledged. The sequence listing has been entered. However, the claims are not in compliance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825 because they refer to sequences by references to a figure, rather than to the identification number of an entered sequence. Further, there are sequences in the specification that do not have sequence identification numbers. Correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of EPO in paper no. 6, filed 19 July 1999 is acknowledged. On consideration, a further election of species is required as set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Cell type:

- a) DE
- b) CEF
- c) QT

These are different cell types from different species with different characteristics; success with one would not render similar results with another obvious.

Promoter:

Art Unit: 1646

a) SV40

b) HCMV

c) RSV LTR

These are distinct promoter systems with distinct characteristics; results with one would not render similar results with another obvious.

Gene Sequence:

a) SY

b) JM

c) SH

d) HE

These are each distinct sequences with different characteristics; the sequence of one does not render the sequence of another obvious.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each category for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 5-7, 10-13, 15, 16, 18, and 19-21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1646

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly

Art Unit: 1646

set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. May 22, 2001

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600